

## 128. Steroids and Sexhormones

Part 265<sup>1)</sup>

### Limonin, Part VI<sup>2)</sup>. Synthesis of a Model Compound Incorporating Rings D and E of 14,15-Deepoxylimonin<sup>3)</sup>

by Gerhard Emmer and Walter Graf<sup>4)</sup>

Laboratorium für Organische Chemie der Eidgenössischen Technischen Hochschule, CH-8092 Zürich

Dedicated to Professor *George H. Büchi* on the occasion of his 60<sup>th</sup> birthday

(11. V. 81)

---


#### Summary

Starting from the known formyl ketene thioacetal **6**, model compound **11** was synthesized. The key intermediates, the epimeric furylmethanols **7a** and **7b**, were converted into the same dithioortholactone **8b** (*Scheme 1*) and further elaborated into the model compound **11** (*Scheme 2*), a versatile compound in the synthesis of limonin (**1**). The acid catalyzed conversion of the epimers **7a** and **7b** into **8b** may probably involve a hydride-transfer reaction with inversion of configuration at C(17) of alcohol **7a** (*Scheme 4*, row b).

---

**1. Introduction.** – In connection with our studies directed toward a partial synthesis of limonin (**1**) [2] we report in this paper a general method for the conversion of 17-keto steroids with 13 $\alpha$ - and 13 $\beta$ -configuration into (3'-furyl)-substituted ring-D- $\delta$ -lactones, a structural moiety found in many naturally occurring compounds [3].

In the 13 $\alpha$  series we chose the suitable A-ring-functionalized 17-keto steroid **2** as the starting material, from which the rings A and A' of limonin (**1**) can be built up by a previously developed method [4]. Our studies were also extended to the 13 $\beta$  series, with compound **18** (s. below) as a representative and easily accessible starting material.




<sup>1)</sup> Part 264 : see [1a].

<sup>2)</sup> Limonin, Part V : see [1b].

<sup>3)</sup> Some exploratory experiments were performed by *W. Lottenbach* [1c].

<sup>4)</sup> Present address of the senior author: c/o *Fluka AG*, Chemische Fabrik, CH-9470 Buchs/SG.


**2. Results.** – As indicated in *Scheme 1*, the hydroxy dithioacetal **5** was prepared from **2** ( $\rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5$ ), and cleaved with lead (IV) acetate to the ketene dithioacetal **6** as described before [5].



Reaction of **6** with 3-furyllithium [6] gave a mixture of the 17-epimeric alcohols **7a** and **7b** in a ratio of 3 : 7 separable by column chromatography. Alcohol **7b** could be converted into **7a** by oxidation with DMSO/trifluoroacetic anhydride [7] to ketone **9** followed by reduction with diisobutylaluminiumhydride; for the configurational assignment of the two epimers see discussion. Treatment of isomer **7b** with one equivalent of trifluoroacetic acid in anhydrous dichloromethane led to the dithioortholactone **8b**. Surprisingly, the epimer **7a**, on prolonged<sup>5)</sup> exposure to this acid under the same conditions, afforded the same dithioortholactone **8b**, with no detectable trace of the isomeric compound **8a**. Therefore, **8b** is the *thermodynamically* more stable epimer, with the furyl group in the energetically more favoured *quasi-equatorial* position (see discussion). On the other hand we succeeded to get the isomeric dithioortholactone **8a** from **7a** by reducing the amount of trifluoroacetic


<sup>5)</sup> The minimum reaction time to convert all starting material was 40 minutes at 0° instead of 10 minutes at the same temperature for the epimer **7b**.

acid to 0.25–0.35 mol-equiv. Compounds **8a** and **8b** can be distinguished clearly by their  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectra, **8a** shows for H-C(17a) a singlet at 4.62 ppm, and **8b** at 5.10 ppm.



As indicated in *Scheme 2*, the dithioacetal group of **8b** was removed by treatment with thallium(III) nitrate in wet methanol/tetrahydrofuran [8]. Overall yield for the transformation **7a** or **7b** → **8b** → **10** amount to 75–90%. The saturated lactone **10** was finally transformed into the  $\alpha, \beta$ -unsaturated compound **11** by the standard selenylation selenoxide elimination method [9].

In order to determine the configuration at C(17a) of the model compounds **10** and **11** by spectroscopic correlation, we modified limonin (**1**) by removing the 7-oxo and the 14,15-epoxy functions to minimize steric and electronic interactions. Standard deoxygenation methods for removing oxo-functions failed. However, a method recently developed in our laboratory for the transformation of alcohols into hydrocarbons *via* the selenocarbonates [10] was successfully applied. Limonol (**12**) [11] was first treated with an excess of phosphene and triethylamine in boiling anhydrous tetrahydrofuran to generate the chloroformate **13**. This derivative was directly transformed to the selenocarbonate **14** by reacting with selenophenol, and **14** was finally heated under reflux with tributyltinhydride in mesitylene with a small amount of azo-bis(isobutyronitrile) (AIBN). The deoxo product **15**, obtained in 70% yield, was accompanied by some formate **16**, which could be converted *in situ* into alcohol **12** on prolonged heating and frequent addition of AIBN. Finally, the unsaturated lactone **17** was obtained by reducing the glycidolactone group with chromium(II) chloride in acetic acid [12].



The reaction sequence with the model compound **18** [5] having  $\beta$ -configuration of  $\text{CH}_3$ (**18**) proceeded in a similar way (see *Scheme 3*), with the exception that the reaction of the formyl ketene thioacetal **18** with 3-furyllithium yielded only one alcohol **19** with unknown configuration at C(17). Acidic treatment of **19** gave the expected dithioortholactone **21**, and, as a by-product in about 5% yield, ketone **20**. Removal of the protecting dithioacetal group from **21** leading to the lactone **22** was performed with  $\text{CH}_3\text{I}$  in wet acetone [13].


**3. Discussion.** – As indicated in the *Table*, unsaturated lactone **11** shows characteristic signals for H–C(15) and H–C(17a), which are in good agreement with the corresponding signals of the limonin derivative **17**. This gives strong evidence for the  $\alpha$ -position of the furyl substituent in **11**. A second proof for the configuration of this substituent at C(17a) can be drawn from the  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR. spectra correlation of saturated model compound **10** and of methyl  $3\beta$ -acetoxy-2-hydroxy-1-oxomelicatate (**23**) [14] [15] (see *Table*). These spectroscopic correlations are further supported by the mechanistic implications of the acid catalyzed isomerization **7a**  $\rightarrow$  **8a** and **8b**.

*Table. Some  $^1\text{H}$ -NMR. and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR. data of **10**, **11**, **17**, and **23**<sup>a)</sup>*

$^1\text{H}$ -NMR. data		H–C(15)	H–C(17a)		
<b>11</b>		5.95	5.03		
<b>17</b>		5.88	4.98		
$^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR. data		C(13)	C(14)	C(15)	C(17a)
<b>10</b>		35.2	46.0	34.8	76.5
<b>23</b>		35.2	45.4 <sup>b)</sup>	33.1 <sup>b)</sup>	76.7

<sup>a)</sup> Chemical shifts in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane (= 0 ppm) as internal standard.


<sup>b)</sup> Positions of these signals are strongly influenced by the nature of the acyloxy substituent in ring A.



23

As has been shown, both furyl alcohols **7a** and **7b** surprisingly gave only one dithioortholactone **8b** on treatment with 1 mol-equiv. of trifluoroacetic acid in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . However, the C(17a) epimer **8a** was obtained by treating **7a** with only 0.25–0.35 mol-equiv. of acid (kinetic control of reaction). Furthermore, in the presence of 1 mol-equiv. of trifluoroacetic acid, **19** gave a small amount of ketone **20** in addition to the dithioortholactone **21**. Under forcing conditions **8b** was isolated as the thermodynamically most stable product, with the furyl substituent in a pseudo-equatorial  $\alpha$ -position. This fact leads to the conclusion, that isomer **7b**, which affords dithioortholactone **8b** under kinetic and thermodynamic conditions, has the (17*R*)-configuration; therefore, **7a** has the (17*S*)-configuration. Similarly in the 13 $\beta$  series, compound **19** provided under thermodynamic control the dithioortholactone **21** with the furyl substituent at C(17a) in equatorial  $\beta$ -position. However, configuration at C(17) in alcohol **19** remains undetermined. Appearance of ketone **20** (*vide supra*) points to an inversion mechanism at C(17) involving proton induced hydride shifts and sulfur stabilized cations [16] (*cf.* Scheme 4, row b). But inversion mechanisms involving cationic intermediates cannot be excluded at this moment (*cf.* Scheme 4, row a and c).

Scheme 4



This work was supported by the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung and Ciba-Geigy AG, Basel.

## Experimental Part

General remarks. S. [4].

**A. Partial Synthesis of Steroidal Compounds 11 and 22.** – Grignard reaction of **6** with 3-furyllithium. A solution of 1 mmol of 3-furyllithium was prepared by mixing equivalent amounts of 3-bromofuran [17] and butyllithium at  $-78^{\circ}$  in 5 ml of dry THF, followed by stirring for 30 min at  $-78^{\circ}$ . A solution of 217 mg (0.48 mmol) crude aldehyde **6** (freshly prepared by fragmentation of 225 mg (0.5 mmol) **5** [5]) in 5 ml THF was added, and stirring was continued for 30 min at  $-78^{\circ}$ . The mixture was then kept at  $0^{\circ}$  for 18 h. The resulting yellow solution was poured into ice cold 0.1N HCl, the aqueous solution extracted with ethyl acetate, and the extracts washed with sat.  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  – and sat. NaCl-solution, dried and evaporated. The resulting crude product (263 mg) was chromatographed on silica gel (250 g, ether/hexane 1 : 2) giving first 58 mg of **7a** and 11 mg of **7a/7b**, and then 136 mg of pure **7b**. Total yield: 205 mg (82%) **7a/7b** in a ratio of 3 : 7.

*Data of (17S)-3 $\beta$ ,19-epoxy-17-(3'-furyl)-3 $\alpha$ -methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-16,16-trimethylendithio-16,17-seco-13 $\alpha$ -5,15-androstadien-17-ol (7a).* M.p.  $172-173^{\circ}$ ,  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = -23^{\circ}$  ( $c = 3.14$ ). – UV.: 212 (13 500), 258 (10 000). – IR.: 3600, 2870, 2830, 1725, 1585, 1500, 1460, 875. –  $^1\text{H-NMR}$ .: 0.73 (s, 3 H-C(18)); 1.06–1.09 (2 s, 2 H<sub>3</sub>C-C(4)); 1.80 (br. s, HO-C(17), exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O); 0.6–2.5 (m, 15 H); 2.7–2.9 (m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>S); 3.26 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>O); 3.74 ( $d \times d$ ,  $J = 8$ ,  $J' \approx 2$ ) and 3.91 ( $d$ ,  $J = 8$ ) (2 H-C(19)); 4.66 (s, H-C(17)); 5.54 ( $d \times d$ ,  $J = 7$ ,  $J' \approx 2$  H-C(6)); 6.05 ( $d$ ,  $J = 11$ , H-C(15)); 6.35 (m, H-C(4')); 7.34 (m, H-C(2') and H-C(5')). – MS.: 516 (33, M<sup>+</sup>), 313 (23), 287 (57), 185 (35), 145 (100), 119 (67).

*Data of (17R)-3 $\beta$ ,19-epoxy-17-(3'-furyl)-3 $\alpha$ -methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-16,16-trimethylendithio-16,17-seco-13 $\alpha$ -5,15-androstadien-17-ol (7b).* M.p.  $208-210^{\circ}$ ,  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = -5^{\circ}$  ( $c = 3.69$ ). – UV.: 212 (14 000), 256 (8 500). – IR. 3610, 2870, 2825, 1585, 1500, 875. –  $^1\text{H-NMR}$ .: 0.95 (s, 3 H-C(18)); 1.06 and 1.09 (2 s, 2 H<sub>3</sub>C-C(4)); 1.60 (br. s, HO-C(17), exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O); 0.9–2.6 (m, 15 H); 2.7–2.95 (m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>S); 3.26 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>O); 3.76 ( $d \times d$ ,  $J = 8$ ,  $J' \approx 3$ ) and 3.94 ( $d$ ,  $J = 8$ ) (2 H-C(19)); 5.04 (m,  $w/2 \approx 5$ , H-C(17)); 5.54 ( $d$ ,  $J = 7$ , H-C(6)); 6.30 ( $d$ ,  $J = 11$ , H-C(15)); 6.34 (m, H-C(4')); 7.32 (m, H-C(2') and H-C(5')). – MS.: 516 (92, M<sup>+</sup>), 287 (48), 185 (25), 145 (67), 106 (100).

$\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{40}\text{O}_4\text{S}_2$  (516.77) Calc. C 67.42 H 7.80 S 12.41% Found C 67.42 H 7.93 S 12.49%

*Synthesis of 3 $\beta$ ,19-epoxy-17-(3'-furyl)-3 $\alpha$ -methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-16,16-trimethylendithio-16,17-seco-13 $\alpha$ -5,15-androstadien-17-one (9).* To a solution of 75  $\mu\text{l}$  (1 mmol) of DMSO in 1 ml of  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  at  $-50^{\circ}$  21  $\mu\text{l}$  (0.2 mmol) of trifluoroacetic anhydride were added. After stirring for 10 min at  $-50^{\circ}$  23 mg (0.045 mmol) of **7a/7b** in 1 ml of  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  were added. After stirring for 30 min, 53  $\mu\text{l}$  of triethylamine were added and the mixture was warmed to room temp., diluted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , and washed twice with 10 ml of water. The crude product (26 mg), obtained by drying the organic phase with  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and evaporation of the solvent, was purified by chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate 2 : 1): 11 mg (48%) of **9**, m.p.  $107-108^{\circ}$ . –  $^1\text{H-NMR}$ .: 1.04 and 1.06 (2 s, 2 H<sub>3</sub>C-C(4)); 1.34 (s, 3 H-C(18)); 0.7–2.5 (m, 15 H); 2.65–2.9 (m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>S); 3.24 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>O); 3.68 (m, 2 H-C(19)); 5.52 ( $d \times d$ ,  $J = 7$ ,  $J' \approx 2$ , H-C(6)); 6.40 ( $d$ ,  $J = 11$ , H-C(15)); 6.72 (m, H-C(4')); 7.36 (m, H-C(5')); 7.93 (m, H-C(2')). – MS.: 514 (100, M<sup>+</sup>), 419 (6), 407 (13), 363 (8), 287 (12), 219 (15), 145 (69), 95 (60).

*Synthesis of 17 $\xi$ -(3'-furyl)-16,16-trimethylendithio-16,17-seco-5,15-androstadiene-3 $\beta$ ,17-diol (19).* Aldehyde **18** (484 mg; 1,12 mmol) was treated as described for **6** with 4 mol-equiv. of 3-furyllithium. Chromatography of the crude product (622 mg) on silica gel (60 g, ether/cyclohexane 3 : 1) gave 333 mg (65%) of **19**, m.p.  $223-225^{\circ}$ ,  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} \approx -1^{\circ}$  ( $c = 2.38$ ). – UV.: 209 (14 000), 259 (10 000). – IR.: 3605, 2860, 2835, 1725, 1645, 1590, 1500, 910, 875. –  $^1\text{H-NMR}$ .: 0.74 and 0.96 (2 s, 3 H-C(18) and 3H-C(19)); 1.54 (br. s, HO-C(3), exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O); 0.8–3.0 (m, 17 H); 2.7–3.0 (m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>S); 3.17 ( $d$ ,  $J = 4$ , HO-C(17), exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O); 3.25–3.7 (m, H-C(3)); 4.46 ( $d$ ,  $J = 4$ , on addition of D<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  s, H-C(17)); 5.2–5.4 (m, H-C(6)); 5.82 ( $d$ ,  $J = 11$ , H-C(15)); 6.35 (m, H-C(4')); 7.32 (m, H-C(2') and H-C(5')). – MS.: 460 (12, M<sup>+</sup>), 443 (4), 425 (1.5), 352 (100), 257 (14), 145 (31), 85 (1.5).

$\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{36}\text{O}_3\text{S}_2$  (460.71) Calc. C 67.80 H 7.88 S 13.92% Found C 67.76 H 7.96 S 13.85%

*General method for the acid catalyzed cyclization. (7a  $\rightarrow$  8b, 7b  $\rightarrow$  8b, 19  $\rightarrow$  21).* To a solution of 0.05–0.1 mmol of **7a**, **7b** or **19** in 5–10 ml of anh. dichloromethane cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ , a mol-equiv. of trifluoroacetic acid (dissolved in anh. dichloromethane to give a 10% solution) was added with stirring. After 10–20 min (40 min for **7b**), the reaction was quenched with sat.  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ -solution. The crude product obtained after normal work-up could be used without further purification. Analytical samples were obtained by chromatography on silica gel (**8b**: ether/hexane 1 : 2; **21**: cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 2 : 1).

*Data of 3 $\beta$ ,19-Epoxy-17 $\alpha$ -(3'-furyl)-3 $\alpha$ -methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-16,16-trimethylendithio-17-oxa-13 $\alpha$ -D-*

*homo-5-androstene* (**8b**): amorphous solid, colorless. – IR.: 2970, 2920, 2860, 1500, 870. – <sup>1</sup>H-NMR.: 0.98 (s, 3 H–C(18)); 1.07 and 1.11 (2 s, 2 H<sub>3</sub>C–C(4)); 0.8–3.8 (m, 21 H); 3.28 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>O); 3.78 (d × d, J=8 J'≈3) and 3.9 (d, J=8) (2 H–C(19)); 5.10 (s, H–C(17a)); 5.45–5.6 (m, H–C(6)); 6.38 (m, H–C(4')); 7.38 (m, H–C(2') and H–C(5')). – MS.: 516 (16, M<sup>+</sup>), 410 (6), 367 (7), 287 (7), 277 (15), 106 (100).

*Data of 17αβ-(3'-Furyl)-16,16-trimethyldithio-17-oxa-D-homo-5-androsten-3β-ol* (**21**): slightly yellow amorphous solid. – IR.: 3600, 2960, 2850, 1500, 870. – <sup>1</sup>H-NMR.: 0.84 and 0.95 (2 s, 3 H–C(18) and 3 H–C(19)); 1.70 (br. s, HO–C(3), exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O); 0.7–3.2 (m, 23 H); 3.3–3.7 (m, H–C(3)); 4.57 (s, H–C(17a)); 5.32 (m, H–C(6)); 6.36 (m, H–C(4')); 7.25–7.45 (m, H–C(2') and H–C(5')).

*Acid catalyzed cyclization with prevention of inversion at C(17) (7a → 8a)*. A solution of 36 mg (0.07 mmol) of **7a** in 1 ml of anhyd. dichloromethane was cooled to 0° and treated for 1 h with 10 μl (0.2 mol-equiv.) of 10% trifluoroacetic acid. After quenching with aq. sat. NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-solution and usual work-up, the crude product (30 mg) was chromatographed on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethylacetate 9 : 1) to give 11 mg of pure and 9 mg of less pure **8a** (ca. 50% yield). *3β,19-Epoxy-17αβ-(3'-furyl)-3α-methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-16,16-trimethyldithio-17-oxa-13α-D-homo-5-androstene* (**8a**): colorless amorphous solid. – IR.: 2970, 2920, 2860, 1500, 870. – <sup>1</sup>H-NMR.: 1.00 (s, 3 H–C(18)); 1.04 and 1.06 (2 s, 2 H<sub>3</sub>C–C(4)); 0.7–4.0 (m, 21 H); 3.27 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>O); 3.74 (br. s, w<sub>1/2</sub> ≈ 5, 2 H–C(19)); 4.62 (s, H–C(17a)); 5.53 (d × d, J=6, J' ≈ 2, H–C(6)); 6.38 (m, H–C(4')); 7.34 (m, H–C(2') and H–C(5')).

*Synthesis of 3β,19-epoxy-17αα-(3'-furyl)-3α-methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-17-oxa-13α-D-homo-5-androsten-16-one* (**10**). A solution of 51 mg of Ti(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in 1 ml of methanol was added dropwise with stirring to a solution of crude **8b**, obtained by cyclization of 31 mg (0.06 mmol) of **7b**, in 4 ml of wet methanol/THF 2 : 1 at 0°. A crystalline precipitate of TiNO<sub>3</sub> was immediately observed. After 30 min at 0° the precipitate was filtered off, and the solution concentrated *in vacuo*. Water and dichloromethane were added, and the organic layer was washed 3 times with water and dried. Evaporation *in vacuo* gave a crystalline product (31 mg), which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 2 : 1) 23 mg (90%) of **10**, m.p. 284–285°, [α]<sub>D</sub> = –48° (c=1.37). – UV.: 207 (10000). – IR.: 2970, 2930, 2875, 2835, 1725, 1500, 905, 872. – <sup>1</sup>H-NMR.: 0.94 (s, 3 H–C(18)); 1.06 and 1.09 (2 s, 2 H<sub>3</sub>C–C(4)); 0.8–2.6 (m, 13 H); 2.6–2.8 (m, 2 H–C(15)); 3.28 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>O); 3.74 (d × d, J=8 J' ≈ 3) and 3.94 (d, J=8) (2 H–C(19)); 5.54 (s, H–C(17a)); 5.56 (d, J ≈ 7, H–C(6)); 6.33 (m, H–C(4')); 7.38 (m, H–C(2') and H–C(5')). – <sup>13</sup>C-NMR.: 19.9 (C(11)); 22.3 (qa); 23.9 (qa and t); 25.9 (qa); 29.2 (t); 30.8 (2 t); 34.0 (C(8)); 34.8 (C(15)); 35.2 (C(13)); 36.3 (s); 43.7 (s); 44.6 and 46.0 (C(9) and C(14)); 49.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>O); 70.7 (C(19)); 76.5 (C(17a)); 101.3 (C(3)); 109.7 (C(4')); 116.7 (C(6)); 121.1 (C(3')); 140.5 (C(5')); 143.0 (C(2')); 149.6 (C(5)); 170.7 (C(16)). – MS.: 426 (100, M<sup>+</sup>), 383 (34), 365 (22), 288 (34), 245 (17), 105 (34), 95 (34).

C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (426.56) Calc. C 73.21 H 8.04% Found C 73.13 H 8.00%

*Synthesis of 17αβ-(3'-furyl)-3β-hydroxy-17-oxa-D-homo-5-androsten-16-one* (**22**). Crude **21**, obtained by cyclization of 68 mg (0.15 mmol) of **19**, was dissolved in 17 ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/acetone/water 1 : 15 : 1 and heated under reflux for 17 h. After evaporation of the solvent, water and dichloromethane were added, the organic layer was dried and the solvent evaporated *in vacuo*. Chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1 : 1) gave 28 mg (51%) of **22**, m.p. 208–209°, [α]<sub>D</sub> = –104° (c=1.31). – UV.: 208 (10000). – IR.: 3605, 2855, 2835, 1725, 1500, 870. – <sup>1</sup>H-NMR.: 0.84 and 0.96 (2 s, 3 H–C(18) and 3 H–C(19)); 1.74 (br. s, HO–C(3), exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O); 0.8–2.5 (m, 16 H); 2.80 (d × d, J=18, J' ≈ 5, H–C(15)); 3.3–3.8 (m, H–C(3)); 4.93 (s, H–C(17a)); 5.32 (m, H–C(6)); 6.35 (m, H–C(4')); 7.38 (m, H–C(2') and H–C(5')). – <sup>13</sup>C-NMR.: 11.2 (qa); 19.3 (t and qa); 30.6 (t); 31.3 (t); 31.9 (t); 32.6 (C(8)); 34.4 (s); 35.7 (t); 36.5 (t); 36.8 (s); 41.9 (t); 46.4 and 48.8 (C(9) and C(14)); 71.4 (C(3)); 85.5 (C(17a)); 109.8 (C(4')); 120.4 (C(6)); 120.9 (C(3')); 140.6 (C(5)); 140.7 (C(5)); 142.8 (C(2')); 170.8 (C(16)). – MS.: 370 (35, M<sup>+</sup>), 352 (6), 337 (4), 232 (100), 174 (70), 138 (1).

C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (370.49) Calc. 74.56 H 8.16% Found C 74.46 H 8.25%

*Synthesis of 3β,19-epoxy-17αα-(3'-furyl)-3α-methoxy-4,4-dimethyl-17-oxa-13α-D-homo-5,14-androsta-dien-16-one* (**11**). A solution of 70 mg (0.16 mmol) of **10** in 1 ml of anhyd. THF containing 54 μl of dry hexamethylphosphoric triamide (HMPA) was slowly added within 10 min to 91 mg of lithium diisopropylamide in 0.5 ml of dry THF at –78°. After 10 min a solution of 163 mg of phenylselenenyl chloride in 1 ml of dry THF was added. After complete addition the mixture was warmed to –20° and kept at –20° for 1 h. After the usual work-up, the crude mixture (120 mg) was chromatographed on silica gel (ether/hexane 1 : 1) yielding 70 mg (52%) of a 3 : 16 mixture of both isomeric phenylselenenylated lactones and 24 mg (34%) of **10**.

6) Ratio determined by NMR. spectroscopy.

Oxidation of this mixture was carried out by stirring a solution of 15 mg (0.03 mmol) in 0.2 ml of THF containing 6.6  $\mu$ l of 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-solution for 1 h at 20°. Usual work-up and chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ether 1 : 2) gave 5 mg (46%) of pure **11**, m.p. 228–229°. – UV.: 213 (14 000). – IR.: 2980, 2935, 2875, 1712, 1620, 1500, 908, 875. – <sup>1</sup>H-NMR.: 1.07 (s, 3 H–C(18)); 1.10 (s, 2 H<sub>3</sub>C–C(4)); 0.8–2.7 (m, 12 H); 3.28 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>O); 3.74 (d  $\times$  d, J=8, J'  $\approx$  3) and 3.90 (d, J=8) (2 H–C(19)); 5.03 (s, H–C(17a)); 5.80 (d  $\times$  d, J=8, J'  $\approx$  2, H–C(6)); 5.95 (m, H–C(15)); 6.42 (m, H–C(4')); 7.40 (m) and 7.46 (m) (H–C(2') and H–C(5')). – MS.: 424 (81, M<sup>+</sup>), 381 (100), 328 (63), 300 (38), 285 (33), 258 (35), 199 (35), 95 (35), 91 (40).

**B. Transformations of Limonin (1).** – *Preparation of selenocarbonate 14.* To a solution of 604 mg (1.28 mmol) of limonol (**12**) in the minimum amount of dry THF (about 60 ml), 10 ml of a 20% solution of phosgene in toluene and 0.2 ml of triethylamine were added, and the solution was heated under reflux for 24 h under N<sub>2</sub> at atmospheric pressure. After cooling to room temp., the excess of COCl<sub>2</sub> was removed by concentrating the solution to half of its volume *in vacuo*. Then 0.25 ml of pyridine and 5 ml of a 0.23M solution of selenophenol in benzene were added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. Usual work-up and chromatography on silica gel (chloroform/acetone 4 : 1) gave 408 mg (61%) of **14** and 224 mg (37%) of **12**. *Limonol phenyl selenocarbonate (14)*, m.p. 261–262°, [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> = +24° (c=1.35). – UV.: 214 (17 500). – IR.: 3020, 2970, 2880, 1755, 1600, 1500, 1440, 1110, 1020, 878. – <sup>1</sup>H-NMR.: 0.87 (s, 3 H), 1.10 (s, 3 H) and 1.23 (s, 6 H) (2 H<sub>3</sub>C–C(4), H<sub>3</sub>C–C(8) and 3 H–C(18)); 0.8–2.5 (m, 8 H); 2.53 (d  $\times$  d, J=16, J'  $\approx$  2) and 2.89 (d  $\times$  d, J=16, J' = 4) (2 H–C(2)); 3.80 (s, H–C(15)); 3.94 (m, H–C(1)); 4.34 (d, J=13) and 4.50 (d, J=13) (2 H–C(19)); 5.07 (d  $\times$  d, J=9, J' = 5, H–C(7)); 5.51 (s, H–C(17a)); 6.30 (m, H–C(4')); 7.25–7.5 (m, H–C(2'), H–C(5'), H–C(3''), H–C(4'') and H–C(5'')); 7.5–7.8 (m, H–C(2'') and H–C(6'')). – MS.: 656 (0.2, M<sup>+</sup>), 641 (0.6), 612 (0.6), 591 (0.2), 579 (0.2), 455 (76), 383 (100), 95 (62).

C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>9</sub>Se (655.61) Calc. C 60.46 H 5.54% Found C 60.40 H 5.61%

*Reduction of selenocarbonate 14.* A solution of 563 mg of **14** in 40 ml of mesitylene was heated to the boiling point; 0.5 ml of Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH and a small amount of AIBN were added and the solution was heated under reflux for 30 min. Evaporation of the solvent and chromatography on silica gel (chloroform/acetone 4 : 1) gave 270 mg (68%) of **15**, 59 mg (14%) of **16** and 8 mg (2%) of **12**.

*Data of 7-Deoxolimonin (15):* m.p. 273–276°. – UV.: 206 (7000). – IR.: 3000, 2960, 2940, 2880, 1760, 1745, 1500, 878. – <sup>1</sup>H-NMR.: 0.95 (s), 1.13 (s), 1.23 (s) and 1.28 (s) (2 H<sub>3</sub>C–C(4), H<sub>3</sub>C–C(8), and 3 H–C(18)); 0.8–2.6 (m, 10 H); 2.54 (d  $\times$  d, J=16, J'  $\approx$  2) and 2.92 (d  $\times$  d, J=16, J' = 4) (2 H–C(2)); 3.83 (s, H–C(15)); 3.98 (m, H–C(1)); 4.47 (m, w<sub>1/2</sub>  $\approx$  4, 2 H–C(19)); 5.60 (s, H–C(17a)); 6.32 (m, H–C(4')); 7.40 (m, H–C(2') and H–C(5')). – MS.: 456 (0.25, M<sup>+</sup>), 441 (2.5), 333 (14), 269 (100), 213 (25), 177 (20), 155 (17), 129 (14).

C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (456.54) Calc. C 68.40 H 7.07% Found. C 67.92 H 7.56%

*Data of Limonol formate (16):* <sup>1</sup>H-NMR.: 1.06 (s), 1.10 (s), 1.24 (s) and 1.26 (s) (2 H<sub>3</sub>C–C(4), H<sub>3</sub>C–C(8) and 3 H–C(18)); 0.8–2.5 (m, 8 H); 2.55 (d  $\times$  d, J=16, J'  $\approx$  2) and 2.90 (d  $\times$  d, J=16, J'  $\approx$  4) (2 H–C(2)); 3.72 (s, H–C(15)); 3.97 (m, H–C(1)); 4.35–4.6 (m, 2 H–C(19)); 5.08 (d  $\times$  d, J=10, J' = 5, H–C(7)); 5.44 (s, H–C(17a)); 6.30 (m, H–C(4')); 7.40 (m, H–C(2') and H–C(5')); 8.05 (m, HCOO–C(7)).

*Synthesis of 14,15-deepoxy-7-deoxolimonin (17).* Under exclusion of air 255 mg (0.56 mmol) of **15** were suspended in 20 ml of acetic acid. Then 3 ml of a filtered solution of Cr(II)Cl<sub>2</sub> (prepared from 6 g of amalgamated Zn powder and 3 g of Cr(III)Cl<sub>3</sub> · 6 H<sub>2</sub>O in 12 ml of water and 1.2 ml of conc. HCl-solution) were added and the mixture was heated under reflux for 4 h under N<sub>2</sub>. Most of the acetic acid was evaporated *in vacuo* and the residue poured into hot water. Extraction with dichloromethane, washing the organic layer with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>- and sat. NaCl-solution, followed by drying and evaporation of the solvent gave 233 mg (95%) of crude **17** (white solid), which could be purified by recrystallization (ether/methanol) or chromatography (chloroform/acetone 9 : 1), m.p. 360–363°. – UV.: 218 (13 000). – IR.: 2980, 2940, 2880, 1745, 1720, 1500, 878. – <sup>1</sup>H-NMR.: 1.14 (s, 6 H), 1.19 (s, 3 H) and 1.26 (s, 3 H) (2 H<sub>3</sub>C–C(4), H<sub>3</sub>C–C(8) and 3 H–C(18)); 1.0–2.5 (m, 10 H); 2.54 (d  $\times$  d, J=16, J'  $\approx$  2) and 2.92 (d  $\times$  d, J=16, J' = 4) (2 H–C(2)); 3.98 (m, H–C(1)); 4.48 (m, w<sub>1/2</sub>  $\approx$  4, 2 H–C(19)); 4.98 (s, H–C(17a)); 5.88 (s, H–C(15)); 6.38 (m, H–C(4')); 7.40 (m) and 7.46 (m) (H–C(2') and H–C(5')). – MS.: 368 (20), 344 (100), 262 (23), 188 (87).

C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (440.54) Calc. C 70.89 H 7.32% Found C 70.77 H 7.37%

We are indebted to the following persons of our analytical department for their help: Mrs. L. Golgowski and Prof. J. Seibl (MS.), Miss B. Brandenberg and Mr. K. Hiltbrunner (NMR.), and Mr. D. Manser (combustion analysis). Mr. K. Job prepared the steroid starting materials used in these experiments.



## REFERENCES

- [1a] *G. Acklin & W. Graf*, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 63, 2342 (1980).  
[1b] *H. R. Schlatter & W. Graf*, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 63, 1554 (1980).  
[1c] *W. Lottenbach*, Diss. ETH Nr. 6686, Zürich 1981.
- [2] a) *D. Arigoni, D. H. R. Barton, E. J. Corey, O. Jeger, L. Caglioti, Sukh Dev, P. G. Ferrini, E. R. Glazier, A. Melera, S. K. Pradhan, K. Schaffner, S. Sternhell, J. F. Templeton & S. Tobinaga*, *Experientia* 16, 41 (1960); b) *S. Arnott, A. W. Davie, J. M. Robertson, G. A. Sim & D. G. Watson*, *ibid.* 16, 49 (1960).
- [3] For a review: *D. L. Dreyer*, *Fortschr. Chem. org. Naturst.* 26, 190 (1968).
- [4] a) *H. R. Schlatter, Chr. Lüthy & W. Graf*, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 57, 1044 (1974); b) *ibidem*, *ibid.* 57, 1060 (1974).
- [5] *W. Lottenbach & W. Graf*, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 61, 3087 (1978).
- [6] *Y. Fukuyama, Y. Kawashima, T. Miwa & T. Tokoroyama*, *Synthesis* 443 (1974).
- [7] *K. Omura, A. K. Sharma & D. Swern*, *J. Org. Chem.* 41, 957 (1976).
- [8] *E. Fujita, Y. Nagao & K. Kaneko*, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 24, 1115 (1976).
- [9] a) *P. A. Grieco & M. Miyashita*, *J. Org. Chem.* 39, 120 (1974); b) *H. J. Reich, J. M. Renga & I. L. Reich*, *ibid.* 39, 2133 (1974).
- [10] *J. Pfenninger, Ch. Heuberger & W. Graf*, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 63, 2328 (1980).
- [11] *A. Melera, K. Schaffner, D. Arigoni & O. Jeger*, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 40, 1420 (1957).
- [12] *W. Cole & P. L. Julian*, *J. Org. Chem.* 19, 131 (1954).
- [13] *Tse Lok Ho & Chiu Ming Wong*, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1973, 224.
- [14] *D. A. H. Taylor*, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin I* 1974, 437.
- [15] *F. W. Wehrli & T. Nishida*, *Fortschr. Chem. org. Naturst.* 36, 1 (1979).
- [16] a) *F. A. Carey & A. S. Court*, *J. Org. Chem.* 37, 1926 (1972); b) *A. P. Kozikowski & Y.-Y. Chen*, *ibid.* 45, 2236 (1980).
- [17] *J. Šrogl, M. Janda & I. Stibor*, *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* 35, 3478 (1970).